

Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20554

In the Matter of

Petition of NextG Networks of California, Inc.
for a Declaratory Ruling that its Service Is Not
Commercial Mobile Radio Service

)
)
)
)
)

WT Docket No. 12-37

To: Chief, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau

REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Crown Castle Solutions Corp. (“Crown Castle”) hereby requests a two-week extension of the deadline for filing reply comments in this proceeding, so that reply comments would be due on May 14, 2012. Crown Castle is the distributed antenna and small cell system subsidiary of Crown Castle International Corp. (“CCI”), which will soon conclude its acquisition of NextG Networks, Inc. (“NextG”), the parent of NextG Networks of California, Inc. (“NGNC”), the petitioner here.¹

Prior to the closing, Crown Castle and CCI have not been in a position to review the details of NGNC’s DAS offerings nor the manner in which they are regulated on a federal and/or state level. Upon closing, Crown Castle will be responsible for overseeing the operations of the Distributed Antenna System (“DAS”) facilities that are at issue in both this proceeding and the related litigation. Given the change in ownership of NGNC’s facilities and the associated shift of responsibilities, Crown Castle respectfully requests an additional two weeks be provided for a response to the comments.

¹ The merger was announced on December 16, 2011. *See* Press Release, Crown Castle Announces Agreement to Acquire NextG Networks, http://investor.crowncastle.com/phoenix.zhtml?c=107530&p=irol-newsArticle_print&ID=1640456&highlight.

Good cause exists for such an extension.² When the merger was announced in December, NextG was the nation's largest DAS provider, with over 7,000 DAS nodes on-air and an additional 1,500 under construction. A two-week extension to May 14 would allow for: a) an orderly exchange of information between business units; and b) a careful review and analysis of this information. This is essential so that Crown Castle can ensure that the reply comments reflect its position concerning the issues raised in NGNC's Petition. An extension of time would be in the public interest as it will permit the filing of meaningful reply comments, which will enhance the record.

Respectfully submitted,

CROWN CASTLE SOLUTIONS CORP.

/s/ Monica Gambino
Vice President – Legal

Crown Castle Solutions Corp.
2000 Corporate Drive
Canonsburg, PA 15317
(724) 416-2516

April 10, 2012

² See 47 C.F.R. §§ 1.3, 1.46.